electronic reprint

Acta Crystallographica Section E
Structure Reports
Online
ISSN 1600-5368

1,2-Di-2-thienyl-2-hydroxyethanone (2,2′-thenoin)

Guy Crundwell, Thomas Meskill, David Sayers and Katherine Kantardjieff

Acta Crystallographica Section E
Structure Reports Online
ISSN 1600-5368

1,2-Di-2-thienyl-2-hydroxyethanone (2,2′-thenoin)

Guy Crundwell,[a]* Thomas Meskill,[a] David Sayers[a] and Katherine Kantardjieff[b]

[a]Department of Chemistry, Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT 06053, USA, and [b]W. M. Keck Foundation Center for Molecular Structure, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, California State University, Fullerton, CA 92834, USA

Correspondence e-mail: crundwellg@mail.ccsu.edu

Received 9 April 2002
Accepted 9 May 2002
Online 17 May 2002

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
T = 294 K
Mean σ(C–C) = 0.007 Å
R factor = 0.048
wR factor = 0.172
Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

The title compound, $C_{10}H_8O_2S_2$, is the 2-thienyl symmetric analog of benzoin. 2,2′–Thenoin can be synthesized in good yield utilizing the benzoin condensation reaction (starting with 2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde). The crystal structure of 2,2′-thenoin has been determined at room temperature.

Comment

2,2′-Thenoin, (I) (Fig. 1), crystallizes with one molecule in the asymmetric unit and forms cyclical hydrogen-bonded dimers. The hydrogen-bond distance is 2.07 Å [for O2—H2A···O1^{i} and O1···H2A^{i}–O2^{i}; symmetry code (i) 1−x, 1/2+y, 1/2−z].



(I)

Experimental

2,2′-Thenoin is a symmetrically substituted thienyl analog of benzoin (Cardon & Lankelma, 1948). It can be prepared in adequate yield using the benzoin condensation reaction commonly encountered in undergraduate organic laboratory texts (Pavia *et al.*, 1998). Recrystallization from boiling 95% ethanol yielded colorless plates of 2,2′-thenoin (m.p. 390–391 K). IR (Fluoromac, cm^{-1}): 3400 (*s* and *b*), 3100 (*m*), 2950 (*m*), 2850 (*m*); IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): 1700 (*s*), 1450 (*m*), 1380 (*s*), 1070 (*s*); ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$, δ, p.p.m.): 7.71 (*m*, 2H), 7.09 (*m*, 2H), 6.95 (*m*, 2H), 6.01 (*s*, 1H), 4.20 (*s*, 1H). The melting point determined in this study is 10 K higher than for the compound initially reported in the literature (Cardon & Lankelma, 1948). However, other molecules with melting points mentioned in that paper were synthesized in our laboratory and our values agree with theirs.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

$C_{10}H_8O_2S_2$
M_r = 224.28
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 10.8436 (11) Å
b = 6.0348 (6) Å
c = 16.336 (2) Å
β = 110.001 (2)°
V = 1004.55 (19) Å^3
Z = 4
D_x = 1.483 Mg m^{-3}
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 3834 reflections
θ = 2.0–23.3°
μ = 0.50 mm^{-1}
T = 294 (2) K
Rhomb, colorless
0.2 × 0.1 × 0.1 mm

Data collection

Siemens SMART P3/512-CCD diffractometer	$R_{int} = 0.038$
	$\theta_{max} = 21.7^\circ$
ω scans	$h = -11 \rightarrow 5$
3645 measured reflections	$k = -6 \rightarrow 6$
1183 independent reflections	$l = -14 \rightarrow 17$
1010 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.173$	
$S = 1.37$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.003$
1183 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.33$ e Å^{-3}
127 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.35$ e Å^{-3}

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I).

S1—C5	1.668 (5)	C2—C3	1.390 (6)
S1—C2	1.721 (4)	C3—C4	1.409 (6)
O1—C1	1.214 (5)	C4—C5	1.357 (7)
C1—C2	1.468 (6)	C6—C7	1.495 (6)
C1—C6	1.533 (6)	C7—C8	1.403 (6)
S2—C10	1.691 (6)	C8—C9	1.410 (7)
S2—C7	1.710 (4)	C9—C10	1.324 (7)
O2—C6	1.419 (5)		
C5—S1—C2	91.6 (2)	C4—C5—S1	113.6 (3)
O1—C1—C2	121.4 (4)	O2—C6—C7	112.6 (3)
O1—C1—C6	121.3 (4)	O2—C6—C1	106.9 (3)
C2—C1—C6	117.3 (4)	C7—C6—C1	110.3 (3)
C10—S2—C7	92.3 (3)	C8—C7—C6	128.3 (4)
C3—C2—C1	130.1 (4)	C8—C7—S2	110.2 (3)
C3—C2—S1	111.3 (3)	C6—C7—S2	121.5 (3)
C1—C2—S1	118.7 (3)	C7—C8—C9	110.9 (4)
C2—C3—C4	111.0 (4)	C10—C9—C8	114.0 (5)
C5—C4—C3	112.6 (4)	C9—C10—S2	112.5 (4)

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXL*97; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.



Figure 1
The molecular structure of 2,2′-thenoin, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

The authors acknowledge the following agencies and programs that have provided funding for this research: the W. M. Keck Foundation, the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation, CCSU–AAUP University and Faculty/Student Research Grants, as well as CCSU Summer Curriculum Development Grants.

References

Cardon, S. Z. & Lankelma, H. P. (1948). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **70**, 4248–4249.
Pavia, D. L., Lampman, G. M., Kris, G. S. & Engel, R. G. (1998). *Organic Laboratory Techniques: A Microscale Approach*, 2nd ed. New York: Saunders College Publishing.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *SHELXTL-Plus.* Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL*97 and *SHELXS*97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.